

International Commission on Trichinellosis: Recommendations on the use of serological tests for the detection of *Trichinella* infection in animals and humans

Bruschi F.¹, Gomez-Morales M.A.², Hill D. E.³

¹Department of Translational Research, N.T.M.S. Università di Pisa, Via Roma 55 56126 Pisa, Italy, ²Unit of Gastroenteric and Tissue Parasitic Diseases, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy, ³USDA, ARS, ANRI, APDL, Building 1040, Room 103, BARC-East, Beltsville, MD 20705

Introduction

Serological methods are widely used for detection of infection in man and animals. The use and interpretation of serological tests vary based on many factors. The recommendations provided here take into account the best current methods for serological detection of *Trichinella* infection in man and animals and provide guidance on the appropriate use of these serological tools.

The ICT does not recommend use of indirect (serological) methods for testing individual carcasses of food animals at slaughter for the purpose of assuring food safety. This recommendation is consistent with practical legislation of many governmental bodies, under which meat inspection programs for *Trichinella* in pork, horse and game meats are performed using a direct method such as artificial digestion.

<u>Assays</u>

Many types of serological assays have been, and continue to be, used for the detection of *Trichinella* infections in animals and man. Serological assays include, but are not limited to:

- enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) employing excretory-secretory (ES)
 antigens of the muscle larvae (ML);
- immuno-electrotransfer blot assay (IETB), also named western blot (WB), using crude worm extract (CWE) or ES antigens;

- 3) indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA), using formalin- fixed whole larval preparations, cryostat sections of infected rodent muscle or frozen sections of free ML;
- 4) enzyme immunohistochemical (EIH) technique, employing cryostat sections of infected rodent muscle or frozen sections of free ML;
- 5) lateral flow (LF) methods, using immunochromatographic strips and ES antigens.

For detection of human and swine infections, ELISA is the most commonly used screening test; positive results should be confirmed by WB.

The main advantages of ELISA are high throughput potential, low cost, reliability, standardization, and an acceptable balance between sensitivity and specificity. It is the only serological method in animals recommended by the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). For these reasons, the ELISA will be the primary focus of these recommendations. Other types of serological tests can have practical applications; therefore, the principles for use of the ELISA (requirements for performance, suitability for particular species, etc.) should be considered in selecting any serological test for detection of *Trichinella* infection.

Antigens

For serological testing by ELISA, the ICT recommends the use of ES antigens obtained from the *in vitro* maintenance of *Trichinella* ML. This antigen preparation contains a group of immunodominant, structurally related glycoproteins that are recognized by animals and humans infected with *Trichinella spiralis*, or any of the other species of *Trichinella* currently known. When compared with somatic worm extracts, these antigens have limited cross-reactivity with sera from animals infected with other parasites. Numerous methods have been published for the preparation of ES antigens; however, for consistency among preparations and reproducibility of ELISA data, the ICT recommends the method published in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals

(http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.20_TRICHINELLOSIS.pdf). *Trichinella* ES antigens are routinely prepared from *T. spiralis* ML because this species is readily maintained in laboratory animals, and ES antigens collected from *T. spiralis* interact with infection sera from all *Trichinella* species and genotypes identified thus far.

Reagents

To maximize test sensitivity and specificity, it is recommended that a species-specific anti-IgG conjugate rather than a Protein A conjugate be used in the ELISA or WB.

Sample collection

Serum is the preferred sample for indirect detection of *Trichinella* infection. After collection, blood samples should be clotted, sera collected, and, if not used for testing immediately, frozen at –20°C. Samples frozen at –20°C may be used for several months; however, it is recommended that repeated freezing and thawing of samples should be avoided in order to prevent a decline in antibody titers and an increase in non-specific reactivity. If samples are to be used frequently they should be stored in aliquots. For periods of storage greater than 3 months, serum samples should be frozen at –80°C or lyophilized. If freezing is not possible, 1% merthiolate (used at 1:10,000 dilution) or another suitable preservative should be added to each serum sample.

For tests performed on animal carcasses, where blood or serum is not available, tissue fluids can be used as alternative sources of antibody. Usually, samples of tissue fluids are used at a lower dilution (higher concentration) in serological assays as antibody concentration in tissue fluids may be 10-fold lower than that found in serum. When meat samples are used for the extraction of tissue fluids it is recommended to wash the tissue, cut it into small pieces, freeze and thaw it and use these extracts.

Another alternative to blood samples is blood spots on filter paper. This method is useful when there are no facilities to store frozen samples. Blood spots may be stored at room temperature in closed plastic bags to prevent rehydration.

Validation and Quality Control

An acceptable serological assay should be properly standardized and validated for its intended purpose. All components of the test that are critical for maintaining suitable performance (critical control points) should be identified and appropriately checked. Furthermore, the test should be conducted within a laboratory quality system. In particular, each batch or lot of antigens should be evaluated by checkerboard titration against a standardized positive control serum.

Requirements for the development and validation of a serological test in animal populations are specified in the OIE Manual

(http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/1.01.06_VALIDATION.pdf).

Users of commercial tests should verify that the test has been adequately evaluated using international reference standards and has received the approval of any relevant regulatory authorities. It is important that the user of any test conducts an independent evaluation of test performance using panels of defined positive and negative sera, representative of the population to be tested.

Use of Serology in Animals

Animal hosts can harbor infective ML as early as 18 days post infection, in some cases before detectable antibodies are present; further, infection with low numbers of larvae can result in an extended period of seronegativity before anti-*Trichinella* antibody is detectable in serum. It has been reported that the correlation between seropositivity and the presence of *Trichinella* ML decreases at low infection rates. For these reasons, serological methods should not be used for the detection of *Trichinella* infection in individual food animal carcasses for the purpose of protecting human health.

ELISA for detection of Trichinella infection in domestic swine populations

Suitability of test - The ELISA, due to its ease of use, low cost, rapidity in obtaining results, and potential for standardization and automation for large numbers of samples, is the test of choice for surveillance in domestic pigs. The ELISA, using ES antigens, has been shown to have greater sensitivity than digestion of 1g samples in animals with low (i.e. <3 larvae per gram (lpg)) worm burdens. However, this increased sensitivity, as compared with direct testing methods, is offset by the reduced ability to detect antibodies in recently infected animals, even when infective larvae are found in the muscle. Thus, the test not advised for individual carcass control.

Validation of ELISA - Serological detection of Trichinella infection in pigs is impacted by both technical (laboratory proficiency, quality of the antigen used in the assay) and biological factors (initial infecting dose, days post infection). Prior to using ELISA for detection of antibodies to Trichinella, the test should be fully validated with an appropriate number of positive and negative samples from the test population. Validation should take into account that false

negatives can occur during a period of prolonged seroconversion due to a low infectious dose or low larval density in muscle tissue or from collection of serum before a detectable antibody response has developed. False positives can occur from non-specific serological reactivity to components in a complex antigen preparation, or to cross- reacting antibodies generated from a different helminth infection. This is particularly evident in free-ranging and backyard pigs which are also at higher risk for *Trichinella* sp. infection. Therefore, positive results should be confirmed by WB.

Antigen preparation - The quality of ES antigens used in the ELISA is of primary importance, and depends upon adherence to proper methods for the cultivation of *Trichinella* ML and proper purification and storage of the antigen. The method for the preparation of ES antigens has been published in the OIE Manual.

Methodologies - A general method for conducting an ELISA test in pigs is described in the OIE Manual

(http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/fr/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.16 TRICHINELLOSIS.pdf). Standard antigens, reference sera and scientific consultation can be obtained from subject matter authorities, such as ICT members' laboratories (www.trichinellosis.org) and the OIE Reference Laboratories for *Trichinella* (www.oie.int/eng/oie/organisation/en_listelR.htm).

Interpretation of results - The level of infection of pigs with *Trichinella* larvae (worm burden) is directly correlated with the time required for anti-*Trichinella* antibodies to appear in the blood. For low-grade infections (< 1 lpg), antibodies may not be detected by ELISA for 4-7 weeks or longer following exposure, while antibodies might be detected from 2.5-3 weeks in pigs with higher numbers of ML. There is no correlation between the ultimate worm burden (larvae per gram of tissue) and the resulting optical density (OD) in the ELISA in serologically positive pigs once seroconversion has taken place. Therefore, artificial digestion of tissue is an important adjunct to ELISA to determine the public health risk associated with infected animals. *Trichinella* antibodies may persist in pigs for extended periods of time. It can be assumed that in slaughter pigs, which have a live weight of 90 to 100 kg at an age of 25 to 30 weeks, it is unlikely that a false-negative finding would result from declining antibody titer.

Reference swine sera positive for anti-*Trichinella* antibodies are not available on the international market; however, swine sera from experimentally infected animals have been collected and their validity and stability tested. These reference sera are available upon request at the European Union Reference Laboratory for Parasites (http://www.iss.it/crlp/).

Indirect detection of Trichinella infection in other animals, including wildlife

Several ELISAs to monitor wildlife populations, such as wild boar, have been described. Among these, the competitive ELISA allows for the detection of specific antibodies irrespective of their isotype or host origin and has a potential value as a multispecies surveillance tool. The variability of collection methods for game meat serum samples often creates problems in conducting serological tests. Samples are frequently contaminated by bacteria or fungi, or they may be hemolysed; these problems can cause false positive results. Besides the compromised quality of the blood samples, the validation of serological assays is also hampered by a lack of reliable reference sera. Any serology test used to detect *Trichinella* infection in animal species other than pigs should likewise be fully validated. Examples of ELISA performance in animal species other than swine are presented in Table 1.

Interpretation of results

It is imperative to determine the positive cut-off value and associated sensitivity and specificity on the basis of a panel of serum samples (at least 100-200 sera representative of the animal population for which the test will be used). Alternative methods such as a binary mixed model analysis, which was shown effective for other animal parasitic diseases, are not feasible at a low expected prevalence of the infection. The animal genotype, feeding habits, pathogen exposure, and environmental characteristics can influence the background of a serological test. All these factors are relevant in wildlife and other animal species that are not raised under controlled conditions.

TABLE 1. The performance of ELISA with ES antigens in animal species other than swine.

Animal species	Notes	References
Horse	Antibody	Hill et al., 2007
	responses	Nöckler et al.
	persisted in a	2000
	dose dependent	
	manner from 14	
	to 20 weeks post-	
	infection (p.i) and	
	then declined to	
	undetectable	
	levels, whereas,	
	viable ML	
	persisted in horse	
	muscle for longer	
	period of time	
Dog	ELISA followed by	Gomez Morales
	a confirmatory	et al., 2016
	Western blot	
	using ES antigens	
	have been	
	developed and	
	validated; no	
	commercial kit is	
	available	
Wild boar	Results similar to	Cuttell et al.,
	those of domestic	2014;
	pigs but with a	Gomez Morales
	higher number of	et al., 2015
	false positives	
	<u> </u>	

Bear	Lack of reference	Rah et al., 2005;
	sera and	Asbakk et al.,
	validation studies	2010; Mortenson
		et al., 2014
Fox	Lack of reference	Davidson et al.,
	sera and	2009 ; Nöckler
	validation studies	and Voigt, 1998
Crocodiles	Antibodies were	Ludovisi et al.,
	not detectable	2013
	after six weeks	
	p.i. although live	
	larvae were	
	present in the	
	muscles up to six	
	months p.i.	

Use of Serological Methods in Humans

Since there are no pathognomonic signs or symptoms for trichinellosis, clinical diagnosis in individuals is often difficult. Consequently, diagnosis is based on three main criteria: anamnesis based on epidemiological data, clinical evaluation, and laboratory tests including serology and/or the detection of *Trichinella* larvae in a muscle biopsy. Because the collection of a muscle biopsy is invasive, painful, and does not always give the expected result even when the suspicion of trichinellosis is correct, serological findings, normally entailing the detection of specific IgG in serum, have practical diagnostic value.

There are three objectives in the immunodiagnosis of human trichinellosis: (a) recognizing the acute infection to allow early anthelminthic treatment; (b) making a retrospective diagnosis; and (c) adding information to the epidemiology of the infection.

Suitability of test

Many serological tests are available for human diagnosis. However, the ICT recommends the use of an ELISA for screening and WB to confirm ELISA-positive sera. All tests should use ES

antigens. Serological diagnosis can be complicated by cross-reactivity, due to the presence of shared antigens of *Trichinella* spp. in other parasites and pathogens.

In most trichinellosis cases, increased parasite-specific IgG, IgA and IgM serum levels accompany the infection; however, increases in parasite-specific IgE antibody and total IgE are not consistent, and consequently the diagnostic value of IgE antibodies without considering other laboratory findings is limited.

Generally, seroconversion in infected humans occurs between the third and fifth weeks of infection and antibody levels do not correlate with the severity or other aspects of the clinical course. IgG specific antibodies are detectable from 12 to 60 days post infection and may persist for more than 30 years after infection. The identification of IgG subclasses, although interesting for research purposes, does not contribute to the diagnosis. For interpreting human serology in the course of *Trichinella* infection the FAO/WHO/OIE guidelines for the surveillance, management, prevention and control of trichinellosis should be consulted.

An example of a detailed protocol for performing an ELISA with human sera is shown in ANNEX 1.

CONCLUSIONS

These recommendations are based on current scientific information including unpublished data from laboratories with relevant expertise in this field. They represent the official position of the ICT regarding acceptable methods for the use and interpretation of serology testing for *Trichinella* infection in animals and humans. These recommendations are subject to change as new scientific information becomes available.

References

Appleton JA, Bell RG, Homan W *et al.* Consensus on *Trichinella spiralis* antigens and antibodies. Parasitol Today 1991; 7:190-2.

Aranzamendi C, Tefsen B, Jansen M *et al*. Glycan microarray profiling of parasite infection sera identifies the LDNF glycan as a potential antigen for serodiagnosis of trichinellosis. Exp Parasitol 2011; 129:221-6.

Asbakk K, Aars J, Derocher AE *et al*. Serosurvey for *Trichinella* in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) from Svalbard and the Barents Sea. Vet Parasitol 2010; 172:256-63.

Bolás-Fernandez F, Corral Bezara LD. TSL-1 antigens of *Trichinella*: an overview of their potential role in parasite invasion, survival and serodiagnosis of trichinellosis. Res Vet Sci 2006; 81:297-303.

Bruschi F, Dupouy-Camet J. Trichinellosis. In Bruschi F. (Ed.). The helminth infections and their impact on global public health. Springer, Wien, 2014, pp. 229-72.

Bruschi F, Gómez-Morales MA. The Translational Immunology of Trichinellosis: from Rodents to Humans. In Jirillo E, Miragliotta G (Eds). Immunity to helminthes. Bentham Publ. Group, 2014, pp.125-61.

Bruschi F, Locci MT, Cabaj W *et al.* Persistence of reactivity against the 45 k Da glycoprotein in late trichinellosis patients. Vet Parasitol 2005; 132:115-8.

Chávez-Larrea MA, Dorny P, Moeller L *et al*. Survey on porcine trichinellosis in Ecuador. Vet Parasitol 2005; 132:151-4.

Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1375 of 10 August 2015 laying down specific rules on official controls for *Trichinella* in meat (2015) (OJ L 212, 11.8.2015, p. 7–34).

Cuttell L, Gómez-Morales MA, Cookson B *et al*. Evaluation of ELISA coupled with Western blot as a surveillance tool for *Trichinella* infection in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*). Vet Parasitol 2014; 199:179-90.

Davidson RK, Ørpetveit I, Møller L *et al*. Serological detection of anti-*Trichinella* antibodies in wild foxes and experimentally infected farmed foxes in Norway. Vet Parasitol 2009; 163:93–100.

Despommier DD. How does *Trichinella spiralis* make itself at home? Parasitol Today 1998; 14: 318-23.

Dorny P, La Rosa G *et al*. High prevalence of anti-*Trichinella* IgG in domestic pigs of the Son La province, Vietnam. Vet Parasitol 2010; 168:136-40.

Dubey JP, Hill D, Zarlenga D *et al*. Isolation and characterization of new genetic types of *Toxoplasma gondii* and prevalence of *Trichinella murrelli* from black bear (*Ursus americanus*). Vet Parasitol 2013; 196:24-30.

Dupouy-Camet J, Bruschi F. Management and diagnosis of human trichinellosis. In: Dupouy-Camet J, Murrell KD, (Eds). FAO/WHO/OIE guidelines for the surveillance, management, prevention and control of trichinellosis, Paris: World Organization for Animal Health Press 2007,pp. 37-69.

Escalante M, Romaris F, Rodriguez M *et al*. Evaluation of *Trichinella spiralis* larva group 1 antigens for serodiagnosis of human trichinellosis. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42:4060-6.

Forbes LB, Appleyard GD, Gajadhar AA. Comparison of synthetic tyvelose antigen with excretory–secretory antigen for the detection of trichinellosis in swine using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. J Parasitol 2004; 90:835–40.

Frey CF, Schuppers ME, Nöckler K *et al*. Validation of a Western Blot for the detection of anti-*Trichinella* spp antibodies in domestic pigs. Parasitol Res 2009a; 104:1269–77. Frey CF, Buholzer P, Beck R *et al*. Evaluation of a new commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for the detection of porcine antibodies against *Trichinella* spp. J Vet Diagn Invest 2009b; 21:692-7.

Fu BQ, Li WH, Gai WY et al. Detection of anti-*Trichinella antibodies* in serum of experimentally-infected swine by immunochromatographic strip. Vet Parasitol 2013; 194:125-7.

Gamble HR, Graham CE. Comparison of monoclonal antibody-based competitive and indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the diagnosis of swine trichinosis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 1984; 6, 379-389.

Gamble HR, Bessonov AS, Cuperlovic K *et al*. International Commission on Trichinellosis: Recommendations on methods for the control of *Trichinella* in domestic and wild animals intended for human consumption. Vet Parasitol 2000; 93:393-408.

Gamble HR, Pozio E, Bruschi F *et al.* International commission on Trichinellosis: recommendations on the use of serological tests for the detection of *Trichinella* infection in animals and man. Parasite 2004; 11:3–13.

Gnjatovic M, Gruden-Movsesijan A, Miladinovic-Tasic N, Ilic N, Vasilev S, Cvetkovic J, Sofronic-Milosavljevic L. A competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for rapid detection of antibodies against *Trichinella spiralis* and *T. britovi* - one test for humans and swine. J Helminthol 2017; 23:1-9.

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Amati M *et al*. Validation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of human trichinellosis. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2008; 15:1723-29.

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Pezzotti P, et al. International ring trial to detect anti-*Trichinella* IgG by ELISA on pig sera. Vet Parasitol 2009; 166:241–8.

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Amati M *et al*. A distinctive Western blot pattern to recognize *Trichinella* infections in humans and pigs. Int J Parasitol 2012; 42:1017-23.

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Amati M *et al*. Indirect versus direct detection methods of *Trichinella* spp. infection in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*). Parasites and Vectors 2014; 7:171.

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Amati M, *et al*. Candidates for reference swine serum with anti-*Trichinella* antibodies. Vet Parasitol. 2015; 208:218-24.

Gómez-Morales MA, Selmi M, Ludovisi A, et al. Hunting dogs as sentinel animals for monitoring infections with *Trichinella* spp. in wildlife. Parasit Vectors 2016; 9:154-60.

Intapan PM, Maleewong W, Sukeepaisarnjaroen W *et al*. Potential use of *Trichinella spiralis* antigen for serodiagnosis of human capillariasis philippinensis by immunoblot analysis. Parasitol Res 2006; 98:227-31.

Interisano M, Marucci G, Gómez-Morales MA *et al.* Validation of a latex agglutination test for the detection of *Trichinella* infections in pigs. Vet Parasitol 2013; 194:121-4.

International Organization for Standardization (2015) ISO 18743: Microbiology of the food chain - Detection of *Trichinella* larvae in meat by artificial digestion method. Geneva, Switzerland

Liu P, Wu XP, Bai X *et al.* Screening of early antigen genes of adult-stage *Trichinella spiralis* using pig serum from different stages of early infection. Vet Parasitol 2013; 194:222-5.

Ljungström I. Immunodiagnosis in man. Campbell W.C. (Ed.) Trichinella *and Trichinosis*. New York, Plenum Press, 1983 pp. 403-24.

Ludovisi A, La Grange LJ, Gómez Morales MA, et al. Development of an ELISA to detect the humoral immune response to *Trichinella zimbabwensis* in Nile crocodiles (*Crocodylus niloticus*). Vet Parasitol. 194:189-92.

Møller LN, Petersen E, Gamble HR *et al*. Comparison of two antigens for demonstration of *Trichinella* spp. antibodies in blood and muscle fluid of foxes, pigs and wild boars. Vet Parasitol 2005; 132:81-4.

Mortenson JA, Kent ML, Fowler DR *et al. Trichinella* surveillance in black bears (*Ursus americanus*) from Oregon, USA. J Wildl Dis 2014; 50:133-5.

Nöckler K, Pozio E, Voigt WP *et al.*. Detection of *Trichinella* infection in food animals Vet Parasitol 2000; 93, 335-50.

Nöckler K, Voigt W.P. Experimental *Trichinella spiralis* infection in the silver fox (*Vulpes vulpes fulva*). In Ortega-Pierres, G., Gamble, H.R., van Knapen, F., Wakelin, D. (Eds.). Trichinellosis, Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Trichinellosis. German Press, Nonoalco Tlateloco, Mexico, 1998, pp. 319–23.

Nöckler K, Hamidi A, Fries R *et al.* Influence of methods for *Trichinella* detection in pigs from endemic and non-endemic European region. J Vet Med B 2004; 51:297–301.

Nöckler K, Serrano FJ, Boireau P *et al*. Experimental studies in pigs on *Trichinella* detection in different diagnostic matrices. Vet Parasitol. 2005 Sep 5;132(1-2):85-9.

Nöckler K, Kapel CMO. Detection and surveillance for *Trichinella*: meat inspection and hygiene, and legislation. In Dupouy-Camet J, Murrell KD (Eds.). FAO/WHO/OIE guidelines for the surveillance, management, prevention and control of trichinellosis. FAO/WHO/OIE, Paris, 2007 pp. 69–97.

Nöckler K, Reckinger S, Broglia A, et al. Evaluation of a western blot and ELISA for the detection of anti-*Trichinella*-IgG in pig sera. Vet Parasitol 2009; 163:341–47.

Nuamtanong S, Dekumyoy P, Adisakwattana P. Evaluation of recombinant serine protease inhibitor from *Trichinella spiralis* for immunodiagnosis of swine trichinosis. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2012; 43:1094-104.

OIE/ World Organisation for Animal Health: Principles of validation of diagnostic assays for infectious diseases. In Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. World Organization for Animal Health. Adopted in 2013.

www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health standards/tahm/1.01.06 VALIDATION.pdf

OIE/ World Organisation for Animal Health: Trichinellosis. In Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. World Organization for Animal Health. Adopted in 2017. www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/1.01.06_VALIDATION.pdf

Opsteegh M, Swart A, Fonville M *et al.* Age-related *Toxoplasma* gondii seroprevalence in Dutch wild boar inconsistent with lifelong persistence of antibodies. PLoS ONE 6: e16240.

Ortega-Pierres MG, Yepez-Mulia L, Homan W, et al. Workshop on a detailed characterization of *Trichinella spiralis* antigens: A platform for future studies on antigens and antibodies to this parasite. Parasite Immunol 1996; 18: 273-84.

Owen IL, Gomez Morales MA, Pezzotti P *et al. Trichinella* infection in a hunting population of Papua New Guinea suggests an ancient relationship between *Trichinella* and human beings. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2005; 99:618-24.

Pinelli E, Mommers M, Homan W *et al*. Imported human trichinellosis: sequential IgG4 antibody response to *Trichinella spiralis*. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004; 23: 57-60.

Pinelli E, Mommers M, Kortbeek LM, *et al.* Specific IgG4 response directed against the 45-kDa glycoprotein in trichinellosis: a re-evaluation of patients 15 years after infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 26: 641-5.

Pozio E, Sacchini D, Sacchi L, et al. Failure of mebendazole in treating *Trichinella spiralis* infection in humans at the stage of encapsulating larvae. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32: 638-42.

Pozio E, Sofronic-Milosavljevic L, Gomez Morales MA *et al*. Evaluation of ELISA and Western Blot Analysis using three antigens to detect anti-*Trichinella* IgG in horses. Vet Parasitol 2002; 108:163-78.

Rah H, Chomel BB, Follmann EH *et al.* Serosurvey of selected zoonotic agents in polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*). Vet Rec 2005; 156:7-13.

Rogan, W. J. and B. Gladen. Estimating prevalence from the results of a screening test. Am J Epidemiol, 1978, 107: 71-6.

Sandoval L, Pérez S, Contreras MC. The indirect hemagglutination reaction in the diagnosis of trichinosis. Bol Chil Parasitol 1990; 45:80-3.

Sandoval L, Salinas P, Rugiero E *et al*. Diagnostic valve of ELISA-IgG for trichinosis using Melcher's antigen. Bol Chil Parasitol 1995; 50:92-6.

Sofronic-Milosavljevic Lj, Ilic N, Djordjevic M *et al*. Anti-*Trichinella* antibodies detected in chronically infected horses by IFA and Western blot, but not by ELISA. Vet Parasitol 2005; 132:107-11.

Speybroeck N,Devleesschauwer B, Joseph L *et al*. Misclassification errors in prevalence estimation: Bayesian handling with care. International Journal of Public Health 2013; 58: 791-5.

Szell Z, Marucci G, Ludovisi A *et al*. Spatial distribution of *T. britovi, T. spiralis* and *T. pseudospiralis* in domestic pigs and wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in Hungary. Vet Parasitol 2012; 183:393–6.

Tattiyapong M, Chaisri U, Vongpakorn M *et al.* Comparison of three antigen preparations to detect Trichinellosis in live swine using IgG–ELISA. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2011; 42: 1339–50.

Teunis PFM, Fonville MTM, Döpfer DDV *et al*. Usefulness of sero-surveillance for *Trichinella* infections in animal populations. Veterinary Parasitology 2009; 159:345–9.

Van Die I, Cummings RD. Glycan gimmickry by parasitic helminths: a strategy for modulating the host immune response? Glycobiology 2010; 20:2-12.

van der Giessen JW, Rombout Y, van der Veen A *et al.* Diagnosis and epidemiology of *Trichinella* infections in wildlife in The Netherlands. Parasite 2001;8(2 Suppl):S103-5.

Wang R, Wang ZQ, Cui J *et al*. Immunodiagnostic value and immune protection of the recombinant Ts21 antigen of *Trichinella spiralis*. Zhongguo Ji Sheng Chong Xue Yu Ji Sheng Chong Bing Za Zhi 2009; 27:17-21.

Yera H, Andiva S, Ferret C *et al*. Development and evaluation of a western blot kit for the diagnosis of human trichinellosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2003; 10: 793-6.

Zhang G, Guo J, Wang X. Immunochromatographic lateral flow strip tests. Methods Mol Biol 2009; 504:169-83.

ANNEX 1

Detection of anti-*Trichinella* antibodies in human serum by indirect ELISA

INDEX

1.	Aim and field of application	2
2.	Principle of the method	2
3.	References	2
4.	Definitions	2
5.	Devices/instruments	2
6.	Reagents and chemicals	3
7.	Procedure	
	7.1 Preparing test and control samples	4
	7.2 Analytical method	4
8.	Interpretation of the results	5
9.	Safety measures	5

1. Aim and field of application

To determine the presence of anti-*Trichinella* sp. antibodies by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay in human sera.

The method can be used for the serological diagnosis of human trichinellosis.

2. Principle of the method

A 96-well microtiter polystyrene plate is coated with *Trichinella spiralis* excretory/secretory (E/S) antigens.

Control and test sera, properly diluted, are distributed in the wells, allowing any anti-*Trichinella* sp. antibodies that are present to bind to the adsorbed antigen.

The antibodies that do not bind to the antigen are eliminated by washing; peroxidase conjugated antihuman IgG goat antibody is then added to each well. This second incubation allows the conjugate to bind to the human antibodies that were bound to the antigens onto the well surface.

The excess conjugate is eliminated by washing, and the activity of the enzyme bound to the human antibodies is measured by adding a chromogen substrate. After incubation, the intensity of the developed color is determined by a spectrophotometer.

The result is interpreted comparing the color intensity of the wells containing the test sera with those containing the controls.

3. References

Gamble HR, Pozio E, Bruschi F, Nockler K, Kapel CM, Gajadhar AA. International Commission on Trichinellosis: recommendations on the use of serological tests for the detection of *Trichinella* infection in animals and man. Parasite. 2004 Mar;11(1):3-13.

Centers for Disease Control, Office of Health and Safety, www.cdc.gov/od/ohs/biosfty/bmbl4/b4af.htm

Gómez-Morales MA, Ludovisi A, Amati M, Cherchi S, Pezzotti P, Pozio E. 2008. Validation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnosis of human trichinellosis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 15:1723-9.

4. Definitions

ELISA Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay

Ag Antigen Ab Antibodies

Ag E/S Excretory/Secretory antigens
BSA Bovine Serum Albumin
PBS Phosphate Buffered Saline

H Hours Min Minutes

RT Room temperature

5. Devices/instruments

The following instruments are needed to prepare the reagents to perform the ELISA procedure.

Adjustable pipettes (volumes: 1 - 1000 µL)

Balance (0.01-100gr)

Automatic plate washer (strongly recommended)

ELISA plate microtiter reader

Freezer -20/-30°C

Ice maker

Incubator 37°C

Magnetic stirrer

Adjustable volume dispenser (e.g., Multipette Eppendorf®)

pH meter

Pipette aid

Refrigerator +4°C ± 2°C

Vortex

6. Reagents and chemicals

The step-by-step procedure for preparing the reagents is described below.

- 6.1 Analytical grade water
- 6.2 Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.3 ± 0.2

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 & 0.34 \text{ g} \\ \text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 & 1.21 \text{ g} \\ \text{NaCl} & 8.0 \text{ g} \end{array}$

Analytical grade water up to 1000 mL

Dissolve compounds in 750 mL of analytical grade water under magnetic stirring. Check the pH (7.3 ± 0.2) and then bring the solution to the final volume; refrigerate.

6.3 Carbonate buffered saline, pH 9.6 ± 0.2

Na₂CO₃ 1.12g NaHCO₃ 2.92g

Analytical grade water up to 1000 mL

Dissolve the compounds in 750 mL of analytical grade water under magnetic stirring. Check the pH (9.6 ± 0.2) and then bring the solution to the final volume; store at room temperature. If needed, clear the solution by filtration.

6.4 Washing solution

Tween 20 1 mL
Analytical grade water up to 2000 mL

The solution should be prepared immediately before use, as follows: add 1 mL of Tween 20 to a 2 L flask; bring the solution to the final volume by adding analytical grade water and mix by magnetic stirring until the solution is clear. If refrigerated, the solution should be used within 24 h.

6.5 Blocking solution

BSA 0.25 g Tween 20 0.05 mL PBS up to 50.00 mL

The solution should be prepared immediately before use, as follows: place 0.25 g BSA (bovine serum albumin) directly in a 50 mL tube; add 40 ml of PBS buffer and mix by vortexing until the BSA is completely dissolved. Add 0.05 mL Tween 20; mix by vortexing and bring to volume. If refrigerated, the solution must be used within 24 h.

6.6 Sera and conjugate diluent

BSA 1.00g Tween 20 0.05 mL PBS up to 100 mL

The solution should be prepared immediately before use, as follows: place 0.50~g BSA directly in a 50 mL tube; add 40 ml of PBS buffer and mix by vortexing until BSA is completely dissolved. Add 0.025~mL Tween 20; stir by vortexing and bring it to volume. If refrigerated, the solution must be used within 24 h.

6.7 Stop solution

HCl 1N in analytical grade water. Prepare the solution under a chemical hood; store at room temperature.

- 6.8 TMB (3, 3', 5, 5' tetramethylbenzidine) peroxidase substrate

 This substrate is recommended; if not available, any other peroxidase substrate can be used.
- 6.9 96-well flat bottomed microtiter plate
- 6.10 Excretory/secretory antigens (ES Ag) (see OIE Manual, http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.20_TRICHINELLOSIS.pdf)

The antigens at the appropriate concentration (for example $5\mu g/mL$) should be brought to a final volume of 12 mL with carbonate buffer saline pH 9.6. The dilution should be performed on ice immediately before use.

6.11 Peroxidase labelled anti-human IgG goat antibodies

The conjugate should be used at the optimal dilution calculated by checking board titration versus a standardised positive control serum. The dilution should be prepared on ice immediately before use.

6.12 Anti-Trichinella sp. seropositive control sera

100 μ L of diluted sera from *Trichinella* sp. infected persons (positive controls). Each positive control serum should be properly diluted (e.g., 1/200), using the appropriate diluter. The dilution should be performed on ice immediately before use.

6.13 Anti-Trichinella sp. negative control sera

100 μ L of diluted sera from *Trichinella* sp. free persons (negative controls). Each negative control serum should be properly diluted (f e.g., 1/200), using the appropriate diluter. The dilution should be performed on ice immediately before use.

6.14 Sera to be tested

Each serum should be tested at the same dilution that control sera, using the appropriate diluent. The dilution should be performed on ice immediately before use.

7. Procedure

- 7.1 Preparing test and control samples
 - 7.1.1 Thaw the test sera and the positive and negative control sera by storing them at +1-8°C for at least 5 h.
 - 7.1.2 Once thawed, keep them in an ice bath and stir them by vortexing before use.
 - 7.1.3 Dilute 1:200 the test and control sera as follows: in a 1-2 mL conical bottom tube, add 5 µL of serum and 990 µL diluting solution. Diluted sera can be stored refrigerated for up to 24 h.
- 7.2. Analytical procedure.
 - 7.2.1 Fill the microtiter plate with 100 μ L per well of ES Ag in carbonate buffered saline; incubate for 1h at 37°C.
 - 7.2.3 Wash 3 times in the automatic plate washer with the washing solution.
 - 7.2.4 Add 200 μ L blocking solution per well; incubate for 1 h at 37° C.
 - 7.2.5 Wash 3 times in the automatic plate washer with the washing solution.
 - 7.2.6 Add 100 μ L of each diluted sample per well and incubate for 30 min at 37°C.
 - 7.2.7 Each serum dilution should be performed in duplicate.
 - 7.2.8 Sera should be diluted (e.g., 1/200).
 - 7.2.9 Wash 3 times in the automatic plate washer with the washing solution.
 - 7.2.10 Add 100 μ L of the diluted anti–human IgG peroxidase labelled antibodies per well and incubate for 1 h at 37°C.
 - 7.2.11 Wash 3 times in the automatic plate washer with the washing solution.

- 7.2.12 Add 100 µL TMB substrate per well; incubate for 10 min at room temperature.
- 7.2.13 Stop the reaction by adding 50 μ L of the stop solution per well and read the reaction in the ELISA plate microtiter reader at 450 nm.

8. Interpretation of the results

- 8.1 The test results can be considered as valid if all of the following criteria are fulfilled:
 - 8.1.1 The OD value of the negative control sera should be lower than the *cut off* value determined during the validation process of the method
 - 8.1.2 The OD value of the positive control sera has to be higher than the *cut off* value determined during the validation process of the method;
 - 8.1.3 The difference in OD between the 2 measures made on the same positive control sample in strict conditions of repeatability has to be \leq 0.15 unit absorbance, and on the same negative control sample it has to be < 0.05 unit absorbance.

If even only one of the above-reported criteria is not met, the test has to be considered as non-valid and the sera should be tested again.

- 8.2 Calculate the mean of the 2 duplicates for each positive sera (PS) and for each test sera (TS).
- 8.3 Subtract from each mean value the mean OD value of the blanks (ODb).
- 8.4 Select the higher OD value among the positive control sera (PS_{max}), and for each sample calculate the extinction value (I_e) according to the following formula:

OD mean duplicates
$$TS - OD_b$$

Ie (%) = ______ X 100%

OD mean duplicates highest $PS - OD_b$

where: Ie \geq 11.8%, *Trichinella* positive serum

Ie < 11.8%, *Trichinella* negative serum

9. Safety measures

This method should be carried out only by authorized personnel. The operator should wear personal protection equipment (PPE) during the test performance. For the general safety measures, refer to the guidelines of CDC.